

Minutes
Meeting of NCAC6 and SAC2
January 28, 2022

The committee met via Zoom videoconference on January 28, 2022, at 9:00 am in a joint meeting with SAC2. Administrative advisors included Honguei Xin, Mike White, Gary Thompson and Christina Hamilton was in attendance. Joe Cassady as chair of NCAC6 gave an update and John Arthington as chair of SAC2 did the same. Christina Hamilton provided an overview of NCAC6 and Honguei did the same for SAC2. Gary Thompson discussed the structure and flexibility of multistate projects. Mike White reinforced and confirmed the previous information and urged members to search for gaps in coverage of disciplines by the multistate programs.

The meeting was jointly chaired by Joe Cassady and John Arthington and Mike Day as secretary for NCAC6 recorded these minutes.

The committees proceeded to review NC and S multi-state projects.

[NC1181 was reviewed by John Lawrence, Interim Head at Iowa State University.](#)

John recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[S1081 was reviewed by Dave Gerrard, Virginia Tech University](#)

Dave recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NC1202 was reviewed by John Blanton, Purdue University](#)

John recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[SERA41 was reviewed by Neal Schrick, University of Tennessee](#)

Neal recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NCERA225 was reviewed by Cathy Ernst, Michigan State University](#)

Cathy recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included her input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[SCC84 was reviewed by John Arthington, University of Florida](#)

John recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NCC210 was reviewed by Byron Wiegand, University of Missouri](#)

John recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NC1182 was reviewed by Clint Kreibel, University of Nebraska](#)

Clint recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NC229 was reviewed by Kent Weigel, University of Wisconsin](#)

Kent recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[S1086 was reviewed by Mike Looper, University of Arkansas](#)

Mike recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NC1201 was reviewed by Mike Day, Kansas State University](#)

Mike recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NCERA57 was reviewed by Joe Cassady, South Dakota State University](#)

Joe recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

[NCERA57 was reviewed by Joe Cassady, South Dakota State University](#)

Joe recommended approval with comments, concerns and questions included in the review to be submitted that included his input, a secondary reviewer's input, and those from NCAC6 and SAC2 members in attendance. The question was called. Thumbs up reactions or emojis were raised. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

There being no further business, Joe Cassady and John Arthington adjourned the meeting at about 11:45 am.

Mike Day, K-State
Secretary, NCAC6