



# SERA3 2024 Report - 68 Responses


## States/Territories Reporting:



## Expertise related to IPM



## IPM Project Areas



## Outputs - Publications

68 Responses

Field	Sum
Book	8
Book Chapter	12
Conference Paper	345
Extension Publication	917
Journal Article	446
Thesis/Dissertation	58
Website	233

## Outputs - Other Products

Field	Sum
Commodity/Grower Meeting	2837
Conference Presentation or Poster	771
Curricula or Educational Aids	59
Diagnostic Service	12391
Extension Presentation	7929
Newsletter	570
Newspaper Article or Interview	467
Podcast	209
Video	473
Webinar	144
Workshop	151
Other	125

## Leveraged Funding Secured

Field	Sum
Federal	5,725,323
State	2,449,792
Institutional	203,500
Private Industry	1,499,785
NGO	255,000
Other	768,106

## Total number of people reached by your state's IPM outreach efforts

Field	Sum
Direct (field days, workshops, professional development, webinars, etc.)	519818
Indirect (newsletter/Listserv subscribers, website views, podcast listens, etc.)	726238489

## How did the "-ERA" network benefit IPM in your State? (0 = no benefit and 10 = maximum benefit)

Field	Mean
Extension	5.41
Data sharing	4.81
Adapt to changes in IPM priorities	4.74
Applied Research	4.65
Increased IPM adoption	4.53
Grant applications	4.31
Coordination	0.00
Communication	0.00
Collaboration	0.00

## Factors (drivers) that have a positive influence on IPM adoption (0 = not a factor and 10 = a critically important factor)

Field	Mean
Profitability	8.75
Crop yield	8.13
Pesticide use rules and regulations	6.91
Incentives	6.65
Human health risks	6.29
Environmental risks	6.21
Public pressure	5.10

## Barriers to adoption of IPM (0 = not a barrier and 10 = critically important barrier)

Field	Mean
High cost of practice	8.03
Difficulty of timing/implementation of practice	7.01
Not convinced that it is effective	6.44
Inadequate cost/benefit analyses	6.24
The research is not complete	6.22
Lack of incentives	6.09
Lack of awareness	6.01

