# NRSP Proposal Peer Review Form

**NRSP Mission:** National Research Support Projects (NRSPs) focus on the development of enabling and critical technologies (e.g., databases, cyberinfrastructure, on-line toolkits, reagents), support activities (e.g., collect, assemble, store, and distribute materials, data, resources or information) or the sharing of facilities (e.g., analytical equipment, lab, field) needed to accomplish high priority research.



# Based on this mission, please rate the proposed NRSP using the following criteria.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Satisfactory** | **Unsatisfactory** |
| **Mission** | | |
| Consistency with the NRSP mission |  |  |
| **Relevance** | | |
| Addresses and supports a high priority national issue |  |  |
| Demonstrates clear and tangible benefits to the scientific  community as a whole |  |  |
| Clearly identified sponsoring beneficiary stakeholders |  |  |
| Stakeholder involvement in project development, project  activities, review, and/or management plans |  |  |
| **Technical Merit** | | |
| Overall technical merit (sound scientific approach,  achievable objectives, review, and/or management plans |  |  |
| Potential for significant outputs (products) and outcomes with impacts |  |  |
| **Implementation Plan** | | |
| Benchmarks for success clearly identified |  |  |
| Management structure that adequately coordinates efforts of  multiple participants |  |  |
| Well-developed business plan that captures multiple sources of funding and leverages OTT MRF |  |  |
| Funding plan that develops alternative funding sources to  reduce OTT MRF in future years |  |  |
| Efforts integrated with Extension, academic, or international programs |  |  |
| Outreach, communications and assessment plan that communicates the program goals, accomplishments, and outcomes, and impacts |  |  |

**Comments (Please add general and specific comments on strengths and weaknesses of the proposal, including specific revisions that would improve the proposal):**

I included a track changes version with minor edits to the current proposal. Overall it is well written and adequately describes the scientific and programmatic benefits to the community.



**Overall Recommendation: Approve Approve with revision Disapprove**