# Issues and Justification

Statement of the issues and Justification: This section should explain why the work needs to be done, and it should include statements on the following points:

* The need as indicated by stakeholders.
* The importance of the work, and what the consequences are if it is not done.
* The technical feasibility of the research.
* The advantages for doing the work as a multistate effort.
* What the likely impacts will be from successfully completing the work.

**Background**

Unlike crops, which have been selected for uniform emergence, weed species have evolved variability in their emergence timing. Even seeds maturing on the same plant may germinate at different times. This “bet-hedging” strategy, with which a weed avoids putting all its “seed in one basket” of emergence timing, enables weeds to escape control measures. Post-emergence management carried out too early will yield low returns for the effort, investment, and ecological cost of the management (herbicide off-target effects, soil compaction, etc.), as weed seeds that have yet to germinate are often unaffected. On the other hand, most weed management tactics such as post-emergent herbicides and cultivation are most effective when weeds are small, at or near the seedling stage (Norsworthy et al. 2012). Therefore, delayed management operations may result in reduced weed control efficacy and greater yield loss (Davis 2006). Thus, weed management should be timed to occur soon after the emergence of most problem weeds.

The timing of weed emergence is not easy to predict because it reflects a multitude of species-specific parameters (e.g., base temperature, base water potential) and environmental factors (e.g., soil temperature and moisture).While many weedy species can germinate under a broad range of environmental conditions (Baker 1974), weeds in most agricultural systems have evolved to germinate when the appropriate microenvironmental cues are detected [Long et al 2016] to maximize fitness during favorable environmental conditions [Grime 1977]. Weed growth, competitiveness, and fecundity are strongly influenced by emergence timing relative to the crop [Hartzler et al 2004, Wu et al 2014]. Temperature is a particularly dominant influence on emergence timing in many annual weed species (Werle et al 214a; Werle et al 2014b). Understanding how changes in winter temperature affect weed germination and emergence is crucial to designing weed management systems that are resilient to climate change.

***Changing winters in northern climates***. In northern latitudes, winters are warming faster than any other season (Hayhoe et al 2007, Karmalkar et al 2017, Brown et al 2010, USGCRP 2017). Winters are also shortening as spring advances earlier in the year and autumn senescence is delayed, resulting in a longer growing season (Piao et al 2015, Monahan et al 2016, Contosta et al 2020). Against this backdrop of milder winters and longer, warmer growing seasons, climate change is driving greater winter weather variability (Chen et al 2018) related to changes in the Arctic jet stream (Francis et al 2015, Cohen 2016, Overland et al 2016). This variability may result in extreme cold temperatures (Cohen et al 2013, Kug et al 2015, Overland 25 al 2011, Cohen et al 2018). One extreme occurred in 2018–2019, when the incursion of the polar vortex plunged temperatures below –20°C across the Northeast. While swings between extremely cold and warm temperatures are somewhat rare, freeze-thaw cycles in which temperatures fluctuate around 0°C may become increasingly common as the climate warms (Henry 2008) with significant implications for agricultural productivity (Rotz et al 2016).

***Weed seed bank dynamics and their responses to temperature*.**

Weeds are a persistent challenge for crop production. They reduce crop yield and quality, sometimes even causing stand failure (Grekul and Bork 2004, Baker & Mohler 2014, Hatzler 2004, Rosenbaum et al 2011). Weed seed banks are the primary source of weed recruitment in most agroecosystems. Weed issues are likely to exacerbated by a warming climate (Hatfield et al 2011, 2014), which will impact weed seedbanks as well as emerged weeds. Weed seed persistence within the soil seedbank is strongly regulated by soil temperature (Smith et al 2018, Kreyling et al 2010, Walck et al 2011). For this reason and others, changes in temperature are likely to drive shifts in weed community composition and abundance that could pose new challenges for cropping systems.

*Warmer temperatures affect weed seed dormancy.* Most weed species exhibit seed dormancy (Cavers et al 1989). Seed dormancy, which prevents germination at times that would result in low survival, is controlled by species-specific physiological, physical, and chemical mechanisms that may confer both dormancy and defense (Baskin and Baskin 1989, Davis et al 2016). Dormancy mechanisms are strongly influenced by temperature (Benech-Arnold 2000). Maternal plants exposed to warmer air temperatures during seed set can produce seeds with lower dormancy levels (Gutterman 2000). Warmer temperatures following seed dispersal can increase the rate of after-ripening and thus the fraction of germinable weed seeds within the soil (Dwyer 2016).

*Increased soil freeze-thaw cycles and warmer temperatures affect seed longevity.* Soil freeze-thaw cycles directly affect weed seed persistence by breaking down hard seed coats (Baskin and Baskin 2014). In species with physical dormancy, fractures to the weed seed coat release dormancy and thereby increase germination, emergence, and recruitment. Fracturing of the seed coat also increases vulnerability to soil pathogens and decay (Connolly and Orrock 2015). Indirect effects of increased soil temperatures and freeze-thaw cycles on seed longevity may be mediated by increased activity of pathogenic fungi and other microorganisms (Classen et al 2015). Another indirect effect is that soil heaving associated with freeze-thaw cycles moves weed seeds in the soil profile (Chambers and Macmahon 1994). Some seeds are moved into deeper layers where they are more protected from seed predators (Omani et al 1999, Korres et al 2018).

**Importance of the Work**

Weed management is a priority issue for Northeastern farmers, particularly given the increasing prevalence of organic production, the rise of herbicide-resistant weeds, and the recent increase in small farms and urban farming. Interest in local food is also increasing, so specific, regionally-focused data and tools for Northeastern management could provide great benefits to growers and consumers while reducing negative impacts on the environment. Weed management cause major yield losses in organic production (Baker & Mohler 2014; Jerkins & Ory 2016). Yield losses to weed competition are an increasing problem for conventional farmers as well, as the incidence of herbicide-resistant weeds continues to increase (Heap 2018). Preventing yield losses requires weed management operations such as cultivation or herbicide applications conducted at the proper time. The failure to account for the temporal variability of emergence can result in mistimed application of these control measures, leading to poor efficacy. Poor efficacy may necessitate repeated operations that are not only costly to the farmers, but also hazardous to our environment. Thus, better timed and more effective use of herbicides and/or cultivation will protect yield and minimize unintended consequences like the spread of herbicide resistance.

As the climate warms, changes to weed emergence patterns or weed community composition are likely to impact crop yield and farm profitability. Accurate predictions about near-term effects of increased temperatures on weed communities will allow farmers throughout the Northeast region to proactively respond to these changes.

**Technical Feasibility**

Figure 1. Picture of OTCs at Rock Springs, PA (photo credit A. Isaacson)

We need effective and affordable methods to simulate increasing temperatures and increasing weather variability ***in the field*** to better understand the impacts climate change may have on weed emergence. Our current research has shown that hexagonal open top chambers (“OTCs”, shown in Figure 1 (Marion et al 1997)) meet this need and passively increase air and soil temperature, while having a minimal affect on soil moisture. OTCs have been used to simulate warming throughout a wide range of climates and environments (Bjorkman et al 2017, Seipel et al 2019). We found that our OTC design was relatively easy to implement and effective at modifying temperatures. The OTCs had substantial effects on air and soil temperature (Figures 2 and 3). On average we obtained an increase in air temperature within the OTC of approximately 0.5°C, and soil temperatures by approximately 0.4°C.
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Figure 2. Average monthly difference in temperature (ºC) for year one (A. 2020-2021) and year two (B. 2021-2022) in in State College, PA. Data are monthly averages of the daily average temperatures of temperature sensors at a 10 cm height in an afalfa-orchardgrass mixture.
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Figure 3 . Daily maximum (top) and minimum (bottom) soil temperature at 5 cm depth in Pennsylvania ( PA, left) and New Hampshire NH, right) buried in a plot with an OTC (“constant warming ’’) and without (“control”).

For example, fall maximum air temperature within the OTC was up to 5°C warmer compared to the control plots, while the OTC increased maximum air temperature by as much as 10°C in spring. The change in fall air temperature within the OTC decreased cold hardiness accumulation by 20 chilling degree day units (base 5°C). Interestingly, the magnitude by which our OTCs decreased chilling degree days is consistent with the predicted decline in chilling degree days for future climate change scenarios in northeastern North America (Bélanger 2002). In the spring, the OTC increased the number of days that maximum air temperature was above 15°C (the temperature at which alfalfa breaks dormancy) by 40% compared to the control. While the OTC did not impact winter maximum air temperature as much as in fall and spring, we did see up to an 8°C increase in the minimum daily temperature in winter. Throughout the spring, the OTC doubled the number of days that air temperature was greater than 30°C compared to the control plots without an OTC.

This OTC design is already being implemented by two multistate collaborators in an AFRI-funded grant focused on alfalfa management in Pennsylvania and New Hampshire. The proposed work will provide complementary information to the existing project focused on weed responses in a wider range of Northeastern US states and climate conditions.

**Multistate Advantages**

Weather patterns, soil types, and weed communities are highly variable across the Northeast, making the collection of data from across the region critical for understanding the response of agricultural weed emergence to climate change. Additionally, Cordeau et al. (2017) found that populations of weed species had different emergence patterns in different Northeastern states. It is not yet clear whether that difference is due to genetic variability within the species or plasticity in emergence patterns depending on climatic conditions. A multistate project will allow us to replicate the same weed emergence experiment at multiple sites across the region. Participating researchers will include Richard Smith (New Hampshire), Carolyn Lowry (Pennsylvania), Mark VanGessel (Delaware), Antonio DiTommaso (New York), and Thierry Besancon (New Jersey). Our thorough coverage of the region will ensure that results capture regional variability in weed emergence and climate.

# Likely Impact

This research will empower farmers to predict the emergence patterns of common weeds, thereby improving weed management efficacy and efficiency. These improvements will be an important aspect of cropping system adaptation to worsening stressors like climate change and herbicide-resistant weeds. Optimized weed management programs will simultaneously enhance farm profitability and reduce negative environmental impacts.

# Related, Current and Previous Work

A brief review, using information from CRIS and elsewhere, of related research on the problem and how the proposed work will supplement and extend it. If the proposal is for a replacement project, the accomplishments achieved under the previous project should be reviewed with identification of those areas requiring further investigation. Specific reference should be made to related multistate research projects or other multistate activities. If there is any apparent duplication, the proposed work should be justified. List essential, cited references. It is expected that the proposal will not include a classical in-depth literature review.

Top of Form

# Our multistate partners have experience in conducting research on seed germination and seedling emergence of weeds.

# Cornell University weed ecologists are at the forefront of weed emergence and climate change research (e.g., Cordeau et al. 2017; Brown et al. 2022, Young et al. 2017) and have led multistate weed ecology studies since 2011 (Mulstistate projects NE-1047 “Ecological Bases for Weed Management in Sustainable Cropping Systems” and NE-1838). These projects brought together networks of weed scientists from around the region to identify effective non-chemical weed management strategies and develop a weed emergence decision support tool for farmers.

Penn State collaborator Carolyn Lowry’s recent work has examined how extreme precipitation events affect weed management efficacy (Lowry, in progress), how abiotic factors influence the composition of weed communities (Lowry et al., in review), and how management factors influence crop-weed competition (Lowry et al. 2019).

University of New Hampshire collaborator Richard Smith’s recent work has investigated how soil weed seedbank communities are structured by climate and edaphic factors (Smith et al. 2018) and agricultural management practices (Smith et al. 2016).

University of Delaware collaborator Mark vanGessel has participated in a wide range of weed emergence research projects. These projects have modelled weed emergence in a variety of Northeastern weed species (Myers et al. 2004; Myers et al. 2005) including winter annuals (VanGessel et al. 2015) and horseweed (*Conyza canadensis*; Dauer et al. 2007).

Rutgers University collaborator Thierry Besancon is researching environmental parameters that govern germination and emergence of volunteer cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*) and Carolina redroot (*Lachnanthes caroliana*). These weeds are challenging for New Jersey cranberry growers because of genetic pollution of the cranberry beds or direct competition with the cranberry vines.

# Objectives

# Clear, concise, one-sentence statements for each researchable objective arranged in a logical sequence. Include only objectives on which significant progress can be made during the life of the project with the resources committed. Do not specify the exchange of information, the coordination of research, the development of standardized techniques, or joint publication as objectives, as these are to be organized under other types of activities. Each participant should indicate in Appendix E those objectives in which he/she will participate. Max characters = 4000.

The goal of the proposed research is to better understand how increasing temperatures associated with climate change will affect weed emergence timing of both summer and winter annual weed species.

* Objective 1: Evaluate how weed emergence timing varies under ambient (control) and increased temperature conditions across multiple sites that vary in environmental conditions (NH, PA, NY, DE, NJ).
* Objective 2: Deploy open-top chambers (OTCs) for in situ manipulation of air and soil temperatures at each site to determine how increasing temperature affects emergence of winter and summer annual weeds.

# Methods

Briefly summarize the research methods that will be used to address each of the objectives. Explicit information should be included to enable the reviewers to evaluate the approach and to discern joint planning and coordination by the technical committee, the sharing of equipment, possible pooling of data, data analysis, and the multistate summarization of findings, in other words, show that this is a collective effort. Max characters = 20,000.

**Experimental Design:**

The study will consist of two treatments: 1) “Warmed”: a warmer-climate treatment with an OTC, recording OTC-modified temperature, soil moisture, and weed emergence, 2) “Control”: a control treatment with no OTC, recording ambient temperature, soil moisture and weed emergence . These will be arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Plots will have no crops planted and will be 1 m2, which is the maximum area that can be consistently warmed within the OTC structures. Data will be collected from the center 0.25m^2, but the data collection area will be adjusted to 0.5 m^2 if weed emergence is low or subsampled if emergence is very high. Plots will be at least 20’ apart to limit any affect of OTCs on snow fall in neighboring plots, which might affect the conditions overwintering seeds experience. The experiment will be moved each year so that plots are on novel locations, to avoid exhaustion of the seed bank, but researchers will attempt to keep them in the same general area to reduce variability introduced by changing soil types.

*Warmed Plots:* To simulate increasing temperatures associated with climate change we will use hexagonal open-top chambers (OTCs). OTCs will be constructed from 1 mm thick Sun-Lite HP (Solar Components Corporation) attached to a metal frame, with a 2.65 m basal diameter, a top opening diameter of 1.75 m, and a height of 0.8 m. OTCs will be anchored into randomly fixed points in the ground. The OTCs will remain on the plots across all seasons for the entire duration of the experiment, and only be removed temporarily for emergence counts and plot maintenance (seeding out new species). The OTCs described above have been deployed in central PA for over two years.

For this experiment, researchers will record weed emergence for the most common 10 summer annual weeds at their site, and will also include if present these species of interest:

* Smooth pigweed or redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus hybridus* or *retroflexus*)
* Velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*)
* Lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*)
* Large crabgrass (Digitaria sanguinalis)
* Foxtail (*Setaria spp*.)
* Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*)
* bur-cucumber (*Sicyos angulatus*)
* ivyleaf morningglory (*Ipomoea hederacea*)

*Control Plots:* No OTC present, ambient temperatures.

* Initial fall tillage will occur prior to establishing the experiment and after that soil will remain for the most part untilled, but in sites where soil cursting occurs we will use a scuffle hoe to break up soil crusting as needed.
* Researchers will locate plots in weedier fields.
* Plots will be monitored weekly. Emerging seedlings of the 10 most common species, and any of the priority species listed above, will be counted and removed; at the end of the sampling, all seeds will be either clipped and removed or sprayed with herbicide. Sampling will begin before weed emergence in the spring, and will continue until none of the target species have emerged for three sampling periods or until the end of October.
* We will use soil temperature and soil moisture sensors attached to data loggers, to quantify the relationship between weed seedling emergence and both soil GDD accumulation and soil moisture.

Data analysis: To examine the effect that warming has on relative timing of weed emergence, we will first convert emergence to cumulative emergence (%) based on the total seedling emergence per experimental unit per year (each species will be analyzed separately). Cumulative emergence of each weed species will be modeled using a Weibull function (Weibull 1951): Y=M ∗{1–exp[–exp(lrc) ∗ (GDD–z)c]} where Y is cumulative percent emergence, M is the upper horizontal asymptote, lrc is the natural log of the rate of increase, GDD is growing degree days and is the predictor variable, z is the time of first emergence, and c is the curve shape parameter [Werle et al 2014b, Goplen et al 2018). We will use the model to extract time to 25%, 50%, and 75% emergence, and then examine whether the fixed effects of climate manipulation treatments affect the relative timing of emergence with block nested in year and site as random factors.

# Measurement of Progress and Results

This section has three purposes. It is intended to show what the products of the research will be, how these products will affect the stakeholder or end user, and what critical points of achievement are needed for progress toward meeting objectives. To do this you should address the following items: outputs, outcomes or projected impacts, milestones.

# Outputs

The results of research activities, such as data, information, biological or physical materials and observations. The output from a plant-breeding program might be a named variety. The output from a survey might be the analyzed survey results. Max characters = 4000.

#### The outputs of this project will fall into two categories: field research, publication, and extension outputs. Our field research will provide three years of emergence data from **four to five Northeastern** states on annual weed species **under ambient and elevated temperature conditions**. **This work will be published in peer-reviewed literature, and extended to Northeastern farmers through extension activities**.

# Outcomes or Projected Impacts

Outcomes describe the significance of the results, showing in what ways the end user will benefit. For example, an outcome from the adoption of a new cultivar might be increased regional production, or greater profitability. Impacts are the economic, social, health, or environmental benefits derived by the intended users. These are usually quantitatively measured either directly or indirectly as indicators of benefits. An example of an impact would be improved human nutrition to so many individuals through genetically engineering rice to contain the precursors to vitamin A. Max characters = 4000.

This project will help maintain weed control efficacy in the face of climate change. We anticipate that extension educators will use information derived from our research to communicate scientifically sound weed management practices to farmers across the Northeast. Farmers will use the information to optimize their weed management programs and proactively address changes in weed emergence patterns.

#### Milestones

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ACTIVITY** | **PLAN DURATION** | **Year 1** | |  | **Year 2** |  |  |  | **Year 3** |  |  |  | **Year 4** |  |
|  |  |  | **Q4** | **Q1** | **Q2** | **Q3** | **Q4** | **Q1** | **Q2** | **Q3** | **Q4** | **Q1** | **Q2** | **Q3** |
|  |  |  | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** | **11** | **12** | **13** |
| **Finalize field research plans** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Season 1 data collection** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Season 1 data management** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Finalize year 2 field research plans** | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Season 2 data collection** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Incorporate season 2 data & initial extension talks** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Finalize year 3 field research plans** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Season 3 data collection** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Final report** | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Outreach to extend research outcomes** | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Write and publish related papers** | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Outreach Plan

# Limited to 2,000 characters. Briefly describe how results of the project are to be made available in an accessible manner to the intended users of the information (e.g., refereed publications, non- refereed but peer reviewed publications, workshops, producer field days, etc.). If applicable, include descriptions concerning equality for service, ease of access to services/information, and any focus on under-served and/or under represented communities/consumers that may benefit from this proposed activity and what the plans are for disseminating information to these and other groups. Identify opportunities for the project/activity to interact with and/or deliver value to peer groups, stakeholders, clientele, and other multistate activities.

# The project will produce useful information for farmers, extension personnel, crop consultants, and the general public. The results will be shared in research papers, on the Cornell University Weed Science website, and in talks by extension professionals in New York, Delaware, and New Jersey. Some likely venues include:

# Weed Science Society of America annual meeting

# Northeastern Plant, Pest and Soils annual conference

# Tri-Society of America annual meeting

# New York Cooperative Extension Agricultural In-Service

# Delaware Cooperative Extension In-Service

# Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm Field Day

# Delaware University’s Weed Science Field Day

# What’s Cropping Up

# Extension Insider (a weekly internal New York extension publication)

# Field day / twilight tours / field walks

# County extension meetings

# Organization/Governance

Provide a very brief description of the organization of the technical committee with emphasis on unique items such as the formation of an executive committee and its functions, any subcommittees that are planned for specific functions, any anticipated program coordinators/managers and their responsibilities, etc. [Standard form of governance description](https://www.nimss.org/projects/organization_and_governance_mrp/19060).

The multistate research group will have an elected Chair, Chair-elect, and Secretary; we will elect them for the duration of our funded cycle. Administrative guidance will be provided by an assigned Administrative Advisor and a NIFA Representative.
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# Projected Participation

**Cornell University**

*School of Integrated Plant Science, Soil and Crop Sciences*

PI: ***Antonio DiTommaso*** – Professor

PM: ***Caroline Marschner*** –Invasive Species Specialist

The Weed Ecology and Management Lab led by Dr. DiTommaso will take the lead on the proposal. This group will conduct the experiment at two research sites, handle program management, ship OTC materials to collaborators, and provide air and soil temperature sensors and data loggers to participants.

Objectives 1 and 2

**Pennsylvania State University**

***Carolyn Lowry*** – Department of Plant Science, Assistant Professor

Dr. Lowry is a co-PD of the AFRI grant on which this proposal is based. She will be providing the design for the project’s OTCs, co-produce the project protocol, and deploying the research alongside her existing experiment.

Objectives 1 and 2

**University of New Hampshire**

***Richard Smith*** – College of Life Sciences and Agriculture, Associate Professor

Dr. Smith is a co-PD of the AFRI grant on which this proposal is based. He will be co-producing the project protocol and deploying the research alongside his existing experiment.

Objectives 1 and 2

**Rutgers University Experiment Station**

***Thierry Besancon*** – Assistant Extension Specialist in Weed Science

Dr. Besancon will be conducting the experiment at two sites in New Jersey.

Objectives 1 and 2

**University of Delaware**

***Mark VanGessel*** – College of Agriculture & Natural Resources, Professor and Extension Specialist

Dr. VanGessel will be conducting the experiment in Delaware.

Objectives 1 and 2
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