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Reviewer 1: Will this be an appendix or attachment? Is it referenced in the other word document?

It is a separate report.

Reviewer 1: Spell out NRSP-4- and follow with NRSP-4 in (parens) Consider providing a footnote as to the history of the nomenclature/terminology change aka IR-4

NRSP is standard terminology and familiar to the intended audience.

Reviewer 1: Develops its annual work plan?

Revised

Reviewer 1: Answer questions or Address questions on? Or voids or needs?

Revised

Reviewer 1: Small Question-Is the lead scientist, field research director always the person who is applying?

No, the Field Research Director has responsibility to manage the field trial but often works with a team on numerous aspects of the field trial

Reviewer 1: Fitness of methods? or fitness of data analyses? Not sure of definition of fitness of results

Fitness of results is accurate wording. The Study Director must assess if the field trial and associated report followed the approved protocol. No data can be discarded or ignored. If the Study determines that data is not appropriate, a clear explanation of the reason on why certain should not be considered as core to the study conclusions must be included in the report. EPA randomly audits IR-4/industry studies and raw data to ensure that final reports reflect the raw data.
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Reviewer 2: Unclear.

Reviewer 1: Suggestion might be to provide a brief definition of crop groups and the tolerance setting process for the group-models are used to bridge data and therefore expands the number of crop uses including specialty crops uses within a single crop group.

Revised

Reviewer 1: These data are important to have prior to products being made available to growers. Efficacy and crop safety data are also required to be included in the registration package for state registrations in California and sometimes in other states? (as well as Canada)?

Revised

Reviewer 1: Reduce residues? Ensure residues are within established limits….?

Revised
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Reviewer 1: Instead of allow efficiency to you mean …Improve efficiency of the tolerance setting process by…?

Revised

Also Does IR-4 have a specific number of crop group expansions they are currently working on-perhaps include?

At this time, IR-4 has completed its project to submit enhanced/expanded crop groups to EPA. IR-4’s efforts and resources are in this area are to help EPA process pending IR-4 submissions and develop the third editions of the ***Food and Feed Crops of the United States*** Reviewer 1: See table below-do you expect it to increase in the next 5 years?

Reviewer 1: See table below-do you expect it to increase in the next 5 years?

Revised. We continue to encourage an increase in joint research projects. The number of cooperative studies with IR-4 Canada is dependent on the specific research priorities established by the growers/farmers/stakeholders in each respective country and the availability of products. Both research programs see the benefits of joint research. However certain circumstances will prevent joint projects

Reviewer 1: Identified in the first and second?

Revised

Reviewer 1: Do we mean being progressed instead of considered?

Revised

Reviewer 1: US, Canada and Mexico

Revised
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Reviewer 1: This paragraph refers several times to minor use programs -suggest consider defining or broadening nomenclature to include some of the specialty crops nomenclature used earlier in document if appropriate

Revised

Reviewer 1: Include 2019 data

Revised

Reviewer 1: Activities aimed at protecting pollinators are a high priority for the agricultural community and the public and….

Revised

Reviewer 1: Check if defined earlier in document

Revised

Reviewer 1: Is the survey just focused on neonicotinoid use or is it broader to include other insecticides?

Neonicotinoid only
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Is this date correct? Should it be 2019?

Revised.